UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

PAUL D. CEGLIA,

DECISION and ORDER

٧.

10-CV-00569A(F)

MARK ELLIOT ZUCKERBERG, and FACEBOOK, INC.,

Defendants.

Plaintiff,

APPEARANCES: PAUL A. ARGENTIERI, ESQ.

Attorney for Plaintiff 188 Main Street

Hornell, New York 14843

BOLAND LEGAL LLC Attorneys for Plaintiff

DEAN M. BOLAND, of Counsel

18123 Sloane Avenue Lakewood, Ohio 44107

GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER, LLP

Attorneys for Defendants

ALEXANDER H. SOUTHWELL, and THOMAS H. DUPREE, of Counsel

200 Park Avenue, 47th Floor

New York, New York 10166-0193

HARRIS BEACH LLP Attorneys for Defendants

TERRANCE P. FLYNN, of Counsel

Larkin at Exchange

726 Exchange Street, Suite 1000

Buffalo, New York 14210

This action is based on a "Work for Hire" contract allegedly executed on April 28, 2003, pursuant to which Plaintiff Paul D. Ceglia ("Plaintiff"), and Defendant Mark Elliot Zuckerberg ("Zuckerberg"), established a partnership for the development and

commercialization of two separate internet business ventures, including, relevant to this case, "The Face Book," the social-networking website created and maintained by Zuckerberg, and now known as Defendant Facebook, Inc. ("Facebook"). The putative contract provides that, *inter alia*, Plaintiff would help fund the development of Facebook in exchange for "a half interest (50%) in the software, programming language and business interests derived from the expansion of that service to a larger audience." First Amended Complaint (Doc. No. 39) ("Amended Complaint") ¶ 1. Plaintiff asserts seven claims for relief including declaratory judgment based on the alleged partnership with Zuckerberg (Claims 1 and 5), breach of fiduciary duty (Claim 2), actual and constructive fraud (Claims 3 and 4), and breach of contract (Claims 6 and 7).

On March 26, 2011, Defendants filed two dispositive motions, including a motion to dismiss (Doc. No. 318) ("motion to dismiss"), and for judgment on the pleadings (Doc. No. 320) ("motion for judgment on the pleadings") (together, "Defendants' dispositive motions"), as well as a motion to stay discovery pending the court's decision on Defendants' dispositive motions (Doc. No. 322) ("Defendants' Motion to Stay"), supported by a memorandum of law (Doc. No. 323). On April 1, 2012, Plaintiff filed a response in opposition to Defendants' Motion to Stay Discovery Pending a Ruling on Their Dispositive Motions (Doc. No. 345). In further support of Defendant's Motion to Stay, Defendants filed on April 3, 2012, a reply memorandum of law (Doc. No. 346). At oral argument on Defendants' Motion to Stay, conducted on April 4, 2012, general discovery was stayed, but a limited period of expert discovery was permitted with regard to the motion to dismiss, and Plaintiff was directed to provide argument, within seven days, as to why Plaintiff needed discovery on the motion for judgment on the pleadings.

April 4, 2012 Minute and Order (Doc. No. 348).

Accordingly, on April 11, 2012, Plaintiff filed a memorandum of law regarding Plaintiff's need for discovery on Defendants' motion for judgment on the pleadings (Doc. No. 349) ("Plaintiff's Memorandum"). Defendants' memorandum in opposition to Plaintiff's Memorandum was filed on April 18, 2012 (Doc. No. 353) ("Defendants' Response"). On April 25, 2012, Plaintiff filed a reply memorandum of law in further support of discovery necessary to oppose Defendants' motion for judgment on the pleadings (Doc. No. 360) ("Plaintiff's Reply"). Further oral argument was deemed unnecessary.

In the Amended Complaint, Plaintiff identifies July 29, 2004, the date Zuckerberg incorporated TheFaceBook, Inc., in Delaware, into which Zuckerberg thereafter allegedly misappropriated the partnership's assets, as the date Plaintiff's claims accrued. Amended Complaint ¶¶ 7, 57. Defendants assert in support of their motion for judgment on the pleadings that each of Plaintiff's claims for relief is barred by the applicable statute of limitation, Memorandum of Law in Support of Defendants' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings (Doc. No. 321) ("Defendants' Judgment on the Pleadings Memorandum"), at 8-14; that Plaintiff's claims asserted in the amended complaint do not relate back to the filing of the original complaint, *id.* at 14-20, and that Plaintiff's claims are barred by laches given Plaintiff's inexcusable delay in commencing this action. *Id.* at 20-25. Defendants' arguments that Plaintiff's claims are time-barred or barred by laches turn, in large part, on Defendants' assertion that on April 13, 2004, Defendant Mark Elliot Zuckerberg ("Zuckerberg"), formed in Florida a limited liability company, Thefacebook, LLC ("the LLC"), to run the business, which was the precursor

to Thefacebook, Inc. ("the Inc."), which later became known as Defendant FaceBook, Inc. ("FaceBook"). Defendants' Judgment on the Pleadings Memorandum at 1-2, 8-9. According to Defendants, Zuckerberg, by listing himself and two others, but not Plaintiff, as the owners of the LLC, "publicly and unequivocally excluded [Plaintiff] from ownership of the company in April 2004," resulting in an accrual of Plaintiff's claims after the six years provided under the applicable statute of limitations prior to Plaintiff's commencement of this action on June 30, 2010. *Id.* at 2 (underlining in original). Defendants further maintain that Plaintiff, by waiting more than six years to bring this action, has created an unjustified and prejudicial delay such that Plaintiff's claims are now barred by laches. *Id.*

Plaintiff maintains that Defendants' motion for judgment on the pleadings, insofar as it presents matters outside the pleadings, should be converted to a motion for summary judgment under Fed.R.Civ.P. 56, and Plaintiff should be permitted to conduct discovery necessary to oppose the motion. Plaintiff's Memorandum at 2-4. Defendants argue in opposition that no discovery will enable Plaintiff to demonstrate that the LLC, for which Defendants urge the court to take judicial notice of the public filing, was not formed on April 13, 2004, more than six years before Plaintiff commenced the instant action, such that Plaintiff's claims are time-barred. Defendants' Response at 3 ("The only question before the Court in ruling on [Defendants' motion for judgment on the pleadings] are whether [Plaintiff's] allegations on their face, in conjunction with facts established by public records or other matters subject to judicial notice, are barred by the statute of limitations or laches."). In further support of discovery, Plaintiff asserts that the formation of the LLC only raises questions regarding the legal effect of the

Case 1:10-cv-00569-RJA -LGF Document 366 Filed 04/30/12 Page 5 of 5

formation of the LLC on the partnership allegedly established between Plaintiff and

Zuckerberg on April 28, 2003. Plaintiff's Reply at 2-7.

Upon consideration of the argument set forth by Plaintiff and Defendants on the

issue of discovery, Defendants' Motion to Stay as to Defendants' motion for judgment

on the pleadings, is GRANTED in part, and DENIED in part, with Defendants' motion

for judgment on the pleadings converted to a motion for partial summary judgment on

the issues of statute of limitations and laches. Plaintiff is thus permitted discovery

under Fed.R.Civ.P. 56(d), limited to serving, within 60 days, interrogatories and

document requests regarding (1) assuming, arguendo, the Work for Hire contract dated

April 28, 2003 is authentic, what intellectual property rights and other ownership

interests were created by the contract's language providing that Plaintiff, in exchange

for helping fund Zuckerberg's development of FaceBook, would give Plaintiff "a half

interest (50%) in the software, programming language and business interests derived

from the expansion of that service to a larger audience," and (2) how the formation of

the LLC necessarily divested Plaintiff of any and all interest in the partnership's assets,

including intellectual property rights. Depositions will not be permitted absent leave of

the court.

SO ORDERED.

/s/ Leslie G. Foschio

LESLIE G. FOSCHIO

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

DATED:

April <u>30</u>, 2012

Buffalo, New York

5